

# The Solution of Böhm's S-Problem

A. Mitschke and G. Mitschke

In this note we give an example of a term of Combinatory Logic (CL-term) in which only the combinator S occurs and which does not have a (weak) normal form. This answers a question of C. Böhm, put at the Symposium on  $\lambda$ -Calculus and Computer Science Theory, held at Rome, march 1975.

1. Definition: For arbitrary CL-terms A, B  $A^n B$  is defined inductively by

$$A^0 B = B \quad , \quad A^{n+1} B = A(A^n B) \quad .$$

Notations are as in Curry-Feys [2] ;  $[ , ] , \{ , \}$  are sometimes used instead of  $( , )$  to make things easier to read.

2. Lemma: Let  $C = S(SS)$  and B be an arbitrary term. Then for all natural numbers n, m

$$T(n, m) \stackrel{\text{Df}}{=} (C^n(BC))(C^m(BC))$$

reduces to a term  $T'$  which has  $BC(C^m(BC))$  as a subterm.

Proof: By induction on n .

$T(0, m) = BC(C^m(BC))$  . Assume the hypothesis is true for  $T(n, m)$  . Then

$$\begin{aligned} T(n+1, m) &= (C(C^n(BC)))(C^m(BC)) \\ &= S(SS)(C^n(BC))(C^m(BC)) \\ &\geq SS(C^m(BC))[(C^n(BC))(C^m(BC))] \\ &= SS(C^m(BC)) T(n, m) \quad . \end{aligned}$$

But  $T(n, m)$  has the desired property.

3. Corollary: If in addition to the assumptions in 2.  $B = C = S(SS)$  then for all  $m$   $T(0,m)$  reduces to a term which has  $T(0,m+1)$  as a subterm.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(0,m) &= BC(C^m(BC)) \\
 &= S(SS)C(C^m(BC)) \\
 &\geq SS(C^m(BC))[C^{m+1}(BC)] \\
 &\geq S(C^{m+1}(BC))[C^m(BC)(C^{m+1}(BC))] \\
 &= S(C^{m+1}(BC)) T(m,m+1) .
 \end{aligned}$$

Now apply the lemma to  $T(m,m+1)$  .

4. Theorem: None of the terms  $T(n,m)$  as in 3. has a normal form.

Proof: For each  $T(n,m)$  we can construct from the above an infinite reduction sequence

$$T(n,m) = T_1 \geq T_2 \geq \dots \geq T_k \geq \dots .$$

As we have only S-reduces the redex in  $T_k$  which is contracted to obtain  $T_{k+1}$  will have a residual in any other term  $T$  with  $T_k \geq T$  . Therefore  $T(n,m)$  cannot have a normal form.

5. Corollary: Let  $A = B = C = S(SS)$  . Then  $SABC$  has no normal form.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
 SABC &\geq AC(BC) \\
 &= S(SS)C(BC) \\
 &\geq SS(BC)(C(BC)) \\
 &\geq S(C(BC))\{BC(C(BC))\} \\
 &= S(C(BC)) T(0,1)
 \end{aligned}$$

and  $T(0,1)$  has no normal form.

Remarks: 1. Naturally it was our original idea to prove corollary 5. We conjecture that if  $S^1 = S$  and  $S^{n+1} = S(S^n)$  for no  $n \geq 3$   $SS^nS^nS^n$  has a normal form.

2. After we had finished the above proofs Henk Barendregt informed us that he too had solved Böhm's problem. His example is as follows: Let  $\$ = SSS$ , then  $f = \$\$\$$  has no normal form. For a motivation for his notation see [1].

References:

- [1] Barendregt, H. ; Letter to the authors from april 14th, 75
- [2] Curry, H.B. ; Feys, R.; Combinatory Logic I  
North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1958

TH Darmstadt  
Fachbereich 4